ED.	WEST-DAK SE 130 Warrior Lane Westminster, SC 29693	ENIOR I	Нібн		
超回	GRADES	9-12 High	n School		
	ENROLLMENT	884 Stud	ents		
M NO	PRINCIPAL	Jenny H.	Elliott		864-647-3065
200	SUPERINTENDENT	Dr. Valer	ie Truesdale	e	864-638-4000
3.06	BOARD CHAIR	Harry B.	Mays, Jr.		864-972-2136
	THE STATE	OF	Sout	H CAR	DLINA
MA.	ANNUAL SCH REPORT CA			2003	3
MV		Į.			
16.4	ABSOLUTE RATIN		Schools with		CELLENT
N. Y	Excellent God	d A		Below Average 0	
4	IMPROVEMENT RA	TING:			GOOD
13	ADEQUATE YEARL	Y PROGE	RESS:		N/A
M					
6. 90	SOUTH CAROLINA	PERFOR	RMANCE	30AL	
r a izi	By 2010, South Carolina' the states nationally. To improving systems in the	achieve this			
TO 100	For More In				TES AT:
	ww		SCHOOL SCEOC.OF		

PERFORMANCE T		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2002	Excellent	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Good	N/A

		Our Schoo	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	78.4	73.7	76.5	70.1	66.1	68.5
Passed 2 subtests	15.7	15.7	17.0	15.5	17.6	17.4
Passed 1 subtest	5.9	6.5	3.3	8.9	10.3	8.8
Passed no subtests	0.0	4.1	1.3	5.4	6.0	4.9

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003 Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*			Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	178	100.0	154	5.2	181	79.0
Gender						
Male	90	100.0	74	5.4	84	79.8
Female	88	100.0	80	5.0	97	78.4
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	11	100.0	13	0.0	14	78.6
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	3	I/S	3	I/S
White	166	100.0	137	5.1	163	78.5
Other	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	43	100.0	19	0.0	23	82.6
Students without disabilities	135	100.0	135	5.9	158	78.5
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	75	100.0	154	5.2	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	172	100.0	154	5.2	180	79.4
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	37	100.0	40	5.0	54	68.5
Full-pay meals	138	100.0	114	5.3	126	84.1
n = number of students on which per	centage is cal	culated				
ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE	SCHOL	ARSHIPS				
Percent of			Our School		High Schools Students Like	with Ours
			- 0		44.0	

Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.2	14.0
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	5.2	14.8
Seniors who met the grade point average	46.1	52.3
*I loing only the CAT and grade point average requirements		

^{&#}x27;Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 884)				
Retention rate	8.3%	Up from 5.9%	7.1%	7.3%
Attendance rate	95.4%	Down from 96.4%	95.2%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	7.3% 20.9%	Down from 8.1% Up from 17.5%	5.5% 11.8%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	9.8% 7.5%	Up from 9.1% Down from 8.3%	9.7% 3.3%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	8.7% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	5.9%	Up from 1.5%	3.3%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	12.0%	Up from 10.5%	1.3%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 567	Up from 407	465	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	31.7%	Up from 4.9%	31.7%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	70.4%	Up from 69.4%	75.9%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 58)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	43.1%	Down from 49.1%	54.2%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	77.6%	Down from 87.3%	83.3%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 84.7%	N/A Down from 85.1%	N/A 87.1%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	97.3%	Up from 94.5%	96.3%	95.8%
Average teacher salary	\$38,669	Down 3.0%	\$40,899	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	15.0 days	Up from 6.5 days	9.1 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	26.6 to 1	Down from 28.9 to 1	28.1 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.6% \$5,908	Up from 89.9% Up 1.1%	90.6% \$6,223	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	51.2%	Down from 60.3%	57.8%	57.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	66.9%	Down from 83.8%	78.9%	87.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.				

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We had a very eventful year at West-Oak High School in 2002-2003. Earning a second Palmetto Gold award and being rated EXCELLENT again were exhilarating accomplishments. Our students demonstrated improvement in academic achievement and continued success in extracurricular activities.

Among our accomplishments were the following: Ninety-two percent of our sophomores passed Exit Exam reading, surpassing our 2002 rate by nine points. Our seniors earned approximately 1.8 million dollars in potential scholarship funds that included two (2) Palmetto Fellows Scholars, forty-six (46) Life Scholarships, thirty-three (33) Hope Scholarships, and sixty-two (62) potential Lottery Tuition Assistance recipients. One senior qualified for a National Merit Scholarship. Five students were chosen for the Governor's Academic Summer Program. Our fine arts students excelled in their ratings in local and state contests with two students qualifying for the Governor's School. Two Career Center students won state titles in building construction and culinary arts, meeting the criteria to compete in the nationals. The SAT Team placed second in the region competition. The FFA Soil Judging Team captured the State Championship title, and the Robotics Team won many titles in their regional and national contests.

Our goals for the 2003-2004 year will be focused on improving student achievement in reading, mathematics, and writing. We will fully implement an honors program in all core subject areas, and we will increase counseling for all students. Our SAT/ACT improvement strategies will increase. The English, math, and social studies departments will implement Standards in Practice, a program designed to improve quality assignments and assessments. All departments will be involved in Vertical Teaming, working with middle school teachers and post secondary educators to align our courses. We will carry on our freshman success strategies and our efforts to improve parental communications through Parent Connect. The School Improvement Council will continue a commitment to academic excellence by expanding their academic incentive program.

West-Oak High School will continue to be a student-centered school. We will carry on our efforts to meet our mission of preparing all students to become responsible, productive citizens through a strong comprehensive educational program. Through our school improvement plan, we will implement additional strategies to improve all of our programs. Continued collaborative efforts among our students, parents, staff, and community will enable us to meet the needs of all students in our Warrior family.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	60	175	31				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.0%	76.9%	74.2%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	94.8%	83.3%	43.3%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	57.6%	83.9%	67.7%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.